1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	LELAND J. BRYANT,	Case No.: 3:18-cv-00117-LRH-CBC
10	Petitioner,	ORDER DISMISSING PETITION
11	v.	
12	ISIDRO BACA, et. al,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	This court directed 28 U.S.C. § 2254 pro	se petitioner Leland J. Bryant to show cause and
16	demonstrate that this petition is timely under 28	U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(A) (ECF No. 5). The
17	responses from both parties as well as the state-c	court record demonstrate that the petition is
18	untimely.	
19	The state district court filed Bryant's judgment of conviction on May 29, 2007 (exhibit	
20	2). Bryant did not file a direct appeal. On March 30, 2016, almost nine years after the one-year	
21	statute of limitations had run, Bryant filed a state	e postconviction habeas corpus petition. Exh. 3.
22		
23	<sup>1</sup> Exhibits referenced in this order are exhibits to respondents' response to the show-cause order, ECF No. 12, and are found at ECF No. 13.	

The Nevada Court of Appeals affirmed the denial of the petition as procedurally barred on July 12, 2017. Exh. 11. Thus, this petition is untimely. Bryant fails to demonstrate that he is entitled to equitable tolling. He argues in his petition that the Nevada Revised Statutes are invalid and states that he and/or other inmates researched the issue for many years before filing this federal petition. This is insufficient to show diligence in pursuing his claims. The court also notes that his argument about the invalidity of the Nevada statutes is frivolous. In any event, the petition is dismissed as untimely.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the petition is **DISMISSED** with prejudice as untimely.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

DATED this 16th day of October, 2018.

LARRY R. HICKS

UNITED STATES DISTRCT JUDGE